



17 February 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chief, Review Staff, OP

FROM : [REDACTED]  
Chief, Plans Staff, OP

SUBJECT [REDACTED]

The revised [REDACTED] were reviewed by the EAG on 6 January. They were approved with the exception of [REDACTED] which was to be revised, and [REDACTED] which was to be held pending a review of the desired and feasible length of the trial period.

The redraft of [REDACTED] has been approved by all Directorates and by the Comptroller.

The question of the length of the trial period is still pending resolution.

I have been directed by the DD/Pers to ask you to put these regulations into final process, even though the question of the trial period remains pending.

[REDACTED]

DD/Pers

D/Pers

2 FEB 1977

DD/Pers / R & C

[redacted] called stating that Jim Taylor had asked him to pass on his comments concerning Mr. Janney's memo of 21 January on the minutes of the 6 January EAG meeting having to do with [redacted] was asked to indicate that Jim Taylor concurs with the revision of paragraph i.

bkf, OD/Pers  
2 February 1977

DDI-333-77

PERS 77-386

31 January 1977

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Personnel

FROM :   
DDI Executive Officer

SUBJECT : Reworking of

REFERENCE : Memo to Secretary, EAG, dtd 21 Jan 77,  
same subject

1. We concur in the proposed revision of   
submitted in the referenced memorandum.

2. You should be aware that the language of the proposed requisition is not in agreement with the language of our Personnel Handbook. The Handbook requires that "identification of the three percent group by each panel will be made by determining those who are judged least valuable to the continued successful competition of the mission of the area or function covered by the panel." There is no reference to performance. In practice, performance and potential have been the primary reason for selection to the three percent list.

